


56

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL BUREAU OF JEWISH EDUCATION


(Respondents in Households with Children Age 0-17 Very/Somewhat Familiar)



57

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL BUREAU OF JEWISH EDUCATION

(Respondents in Households with Children Age 0-17 Very/Somewhat Familiar)



**TABLE 30
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: RESPONDENTS

| Community | Year | Very Familiar | Somewhat Familiar | Not at All Familiar |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Harrisburg | 1994 | 37% | 37 | 26 |
| Jacksonville | 2002 | 33% | 24 | 43 |
| Tidewater | 2001 | 30% | 38 | 32 |
| San Antonio | 2007 | 21% | 34 | 45 |
| Richmond (Rudlin Torah) | 1994 | 21% | 30 | 50 |
| Lehigh Valley | 2007 | 20% | 33 | 48 |
| Hartford (Schechter) | 2000 | 19% | 38 | 44 |
| Wilmington | 1995 | 19% | 36 | 45 |
| New Haven (Ezra Academy) | 2010 | 18% | 37 | 45 |
| Portland (ME) | 2007 | 17% | 35 | 48 |
| Rochester | 1999 | 17% | 34 | 49 |
| Tucson | 2002 | 16% | 33 | 52 |
| Orlando | 1993 | 15% | 30 | 55 |
| Charlotte | 1997 | 15% | 28 | 57 |
| Rhode Island (Schechter) | 2002 | 14% | 36 | 50 |
| Richmond (Jewish Community Day) | 1994 | 14% | 23 | 64 |
| Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day) | 2002 | 13% | 41 | 46 |
| Hartford (Hebrew Academy) | 2000 | 12% | 29 | 59 |
| New Haven (Hebrew Academy) | 2010 | 10% | 24 | 66 |
| Westport ¹ | 2000 | 9% | 24 | 67 |
| Atlantic County ² | 2004 | 5% | 20 | 75 |
| Broward (Posnack) | 1997 | 5% | 17 | 78 |
| West Palm Beach | 2005 | 4% | 9 | 87 |
| Broward (Maimonides) | 1997 | 1% | 4 | 95 |


¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

² The Jewish Community Day School was in the planning stages at the time of the survey.

58

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents)



59

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents)



**TABLE 31
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

| BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17 | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Community | Year | Very Familiar | Somewhat Familiar | Not at All Familiar |
| Jacksonville | 2002 | 58% | 20 | 23 |
| St. Paul (Talmud Torah) | 2004 | 50% | 31 | 20 |
| Detroit (Hillel) | 2005 | 48% | 40 | 12 |
| S Palm Beach (Donna Klein) | 2005 | 46% | 41 | 13 |
| Harrisburg | 1994 | 43% | 45 | 12 |
| San Antonio | 2007 | 39% | 42 | 19 |
| Tidewater | 2001 | 37% | 42 | 21 |
| Lehigh Valley | 2007 | 33% | 37 | 30 |
| Las Vegas (Hebrew Academy) | 2005 | 33% | 32 | 34 |
| Detroit (Jewish Academy) | 2005 | 32% | 44 | 24 |
| Detroit (Beth Yehudah) | 2005 | 32% | 38 | 30 |
| Detroit (Akiva) | 2005 | 31% | 50 | 19 |
| Orlando | 1993 | 31% | 42 | 27 |
| New Haven (Ezra Academy) | 2010 | 31% | 40 | 29 |
| Richmond (Rudlin Torah) | 1994 | 31% | 39 | 30 |
| Wilmington | 1995 | 31% | 36 | 33 |
| Rhode Island (Schechter) | 2002 | 30% | 43 | 27 |
| Portland (ME) | 2007 | 29% | 54 | 17 |
| Minneapolis (Jewish Day) | 2004 | 29% | 46 | 26 |
| Tucson | 2002 | 27% | 48 | 25 |
| Hartford (Schechter) | 2000 | 27% | 41 | 32 |
| Richmond (Jewish Community Day) | 1994 | 27% | 33 | 40 |
| Detroit (Darchei Torah) | 2005 | 27% | 26 | 47 |
| Charlotte | 1997 | 25% | 40 | 36 |
| Detroit (Gedolah) | 2005 | 24% | 24 | 52 |

TABLE 31
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


| BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17 | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Community | Year | Very Familiar | Somewhat Familiar | Not at All Familiar |
| Middlesex (Schechter) | 2008 | 23% | 42 | 35 |
| Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day) | 2002 | 23% | 42 | 36 |
| Middlesex (RPRY) | 2008 | 22% | 22 | 57 |
| Minneapolis (Torah Academy) | 2004 | 21% | 56 | 23 |
| Rochester | 1999 | 21% | 37 | 43 |
| Las Vegas (Schechter) | 2005 | 20% | 36 | 44 |
| Broward (Posnack) | 1997 | 20% | 25 | 55 |
| Hartford (Hebrew Academy) | 2000 | 18% | 32 | 50 |
| St. Paul (Jewish Middle) | 2004 | 17% | 29 | 54 |
| W Palm Beach | 2005 | 17% | 23 | 60 |
| New Haven (Hebrew Academy) | 2010 | 16% | 26 | 58 |
| S Palm Beach (Hillel) | 2005 | 15% | 39 | 46 |
| Middlesex (MAYHS) | 2008 | 13% | 25 | 62 |
| Las Vegas (Desert Torah) | 2005 | 12% | 28 | 60 |
| Atlantic County ¹ | 2004 | 11% | 35 | 54 |
| Westport ² | 2000 | 10% | 34 | 56 |
| St. Paul (Chabad Academy) | 2004 | 10% | 27 | 63 |
| S Palm Beach (Schechter) | 2005 | 10% | 25 | 65 |
| Minneapolis (Jewish Middle) | 2004 | 9% | 26 | 65 |
| S Palm Beach (Torah Academy) | 2005 | 7% | 16 | 77 |
| S Palm Beach (Weinbaum) | 2005 | 6% | 11 | 83 |
| Broward (Maimonides) | 1997 | 5% | 8 | 87 |

¹ The Jewish Community Day School was in the planning stages at the time of the survey.
² The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

60

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



61

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



**TABLE 32
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

| Community | Year | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Excellent/ Good |
|---|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Jacksonville | 2002 | 55% | 37 | 9 | 0 | 91% |
| Orlando | 1993 | 51% | 43 | 5 | 1 | 94% |
| Westport ¹ | 2000 | 45% | 48 | 6 | 1 | 93% |
| Tucson | 2002 | 45% | 46 | 8 | 1 | 91% |
| Broward (Posnack) | 1997 | 43% | 48 | 8 | 1 | 91% |
| West Palm Beach | 2005 | 42% | 50 | 7 | 1 | 92% |
| Tidewater | 2001 | 41% | 50 | 6 | 3 | 91% |
| Harrisburg | 1994 | 39% | 52 | 8 | 2 | 91% |
| Lehigh Valley | 2007 | 39% | 51 | 9 | 1 | 90% |
| Charlotte | 1997 | 39% | 51 | 7 | 3 | 90% |
| Hartford (Schechter) | 2000 | 38% | 55 | 7 | 0 | 93% |
| Portland (ME) | 2007 | 37% | 57 | 6 | 0 | 95% |
| Hartford (Hebrew Academy) | 2000 | 36% | 57 | 7 | 0 | 93% |
| Richmond (Rudlin Torah) | 1994 | 36% | 47 | 14 | 4 | 82% |
| San Antonio | 2007 | 35% | 50 | 13 | 2 | 85% |
| New Haven (Ezra Academy) | 2010 | 34% | 53 | 12 | 1 | 87% |
| Wilmington | 1995 | 34% | 53 | 10 | 3 | 88% |
| Rhode Island (Schechter) | 2002 | 32% | 55 | 11 | 2 | 87% |
| Rochester | 1999 | 31% | 51 | 15 | 4 | 81% |
| Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day) | 2002 | 29% | 57 | 14 | 1 | 85% |
| New Haven (Hebrew Academy) | 2010 | 28% | 54 | 15 | 3 | 82% |

TABLE 32
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


| Community | Year | <i>Excellent</i> | Good | Fair | Poor | Excellent/ Good |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Broward (Maimonides) | 1997 | 21% | 61 | 14 | 4 | 82% |
| Richmond (Jewish Community Day) | 1994 | 19% | 52 | 22 | 7 | 71% |

¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

62

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



63

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



**TABLE 33
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

| Community | Year | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Excellent/ Good |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Jacksonville | 2002 | 60% | 32 | 9 | 0 | 91% |
| Orlando | 1993 | 52% | 39 | 8 | 1 | 91% |
| Westport ¹ | 2000 | 47% | 45 | 7 | 1 | 92% |
| Broward (Posnack) | 1997 | 47% | 44 | 9 | 0 | 91% |
| W Palm Beach | 2005 | 45% | 44 | 8 | 4 | 89% |
| Detroit (Jewish Academy) | 2005 | 44% | 46 | 9 | 1 | 90% |
| St. Paul (Jewish Middle) | 2004 | 42% | 46 | 12 | 0 | 88% |
| Detroit (Gedolah) | 2005 | 42% | 36 | 21 | 2 | 78% |
| St. Paul (Talmud Torah) | 2004 | 41% | 50 | 6 | 3 | 90% |
| Tidewater | 2001 | 41% | 46 | 6 | 7 | 87% |
| Minneapolis (Jewish Day) | 2004 | 40% | 53 | 7 | 0 | 93% |
| Portland (ME) | 2007 | 39% | 58 | 3 | 0 | 97% |
| Charlotte | 1997 | 39% | 48 | 7 | 6 | 87% |
| Detroit (Darchei Torah) | 2005 | 39% | 39 | 21 | 1 | 78% |
| New Haven (Hebrew Academy) | 2010 | 38% | 50 | 10 | 2 | 88% |
| Detroit (Beth Yehudah) | 2005 | 38% | 42 | 19 | 1 | 80% |
| Rhode Island (Schechter) | 2002 | 35% | 52 | 12 | 1 | 87% |
| Tucson | 2002 | 35% | 48 | 17 | 1 | 82% |
| S Palm Beach (Donna Klein) | 2005 | 35% | 47 | 13 | 5 | 82% |
| Hartford (Hebrew Academy) | 2000 | 34% | 58 | 7 | 0 | 93% |
| Detroit (Hillel) | 2005 | 34% | 46 | 17 | 4 | 80% |
| Hartford (Schechter) | 2000 | 33% | 60 | 7 | 0 | 93% |
| Richmond (Rudlin Torah) | 1994 | 33% | 47 | 14 | 6 | 79% |

**TABLE 33
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**


| Community | Year | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Excellent/ Good |
|---|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day) | 2002 | 32% | 49 | 18 | 2 | 80% |
| Harrisburg | 1994 | 31% | 58 | 8 | 3 | 89% |
| San Antonio | 2007 | 31% | 48 | 18 | 3 | 79% |
| Las Vegas (Hebrew Academy) | 2005 | 31% | 46 | 12 | 12 | 77% |
| S Palm Beach (Hillel) | 2005 | 29% | 62 | 6 | 3 | 91% |
| Lehigh Valley | 2007 | 28% | 51 | 17 | 4 | 79% |
| New Haven (Ezra Academy) | 2010 | 27% | 60 | 11 | 2 | 87% |
| Wilmington | 1995 | 27% | 54 | 16 | 4 | 80% |
| St. Paul (Chabad Academy) | 2004 | 23% | 44 | 27 | 6 | 67% |
| Rochester | 1999 | 22% | 40 | 29 | 9 | 62% |
| Detroit (Akiva) | 2005 | 21% | 58 | 20 | 1 | 80% |
| Las Vegas (Desert Torah) | 2005 | 20% | 55 | 22 | 4 | 74% |
| Richmond (Jewish Community Day) | 1994 | 20% | 51 | 19 | 10 | 71% |
| Minneapolis (Jewish Middle) | 2004 | 18% | 71 | 11 | 0 | 89% |
| Middlesex (RPRY) | 2008 | 18% | 53 | 23 | 6 | 71% |
| Middlesex (Schechter) | 2008 | 17% | 66 | 17 | 1 | 82% |
| Minneapolis (Torah Academy) | 2004 | 17% | 64 | 15 | 4 | 81% |
| Broward (Maimonides) | 1997 | 14% | 68 | 18 | 0 | 83% |
| S Palm Beach (Schechter) | 2005 | 13% | 70 | 13 | 4 | 83% |
| Las Vegas (Schechter) | 2005 | 13% | 67 | 15 | 4 | 81% |
| Middlesex (MAYHS) | 2008 | 11% | 37 | 41 | 12 | 48% |

¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

64

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



65

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)




| TABLE 34 | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN COMMUNITY COMPARISONS | | | | |
| BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17 | | | | |
| Community | Year | <i>Very Familiar</i> | Somewhat Familiar | Not at All Familiar |
| New Haven | 2010 | 23% | 34 | 44 |
| Harrisburg | 1994 | 21% | 29 | 50 |
| Hartford | 2000 | 21% | 26 | 53 |

66

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



67

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)




TABLE 35
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

| Community | Year | <i>Excellent</i> | Good | Fair | Poor | Excellent/ Good |
|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Hartford | 2000 | 34% | 48 | 17 | 2 | 83% |
| New Haven | 2010 | 29% | 50 | 15 | 6 | 79% |
| Harrisburg | 1994 | 14% | 64 | 16 | 6 | 78% |

68

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



69

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)

