


56

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL BUREAU OF JEWISH EDUCATION


(Respondents in Households with Children Age 0-17 Very/Somewhat Familiar)



57

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL BUREAU OF JEWISH EDUCATION

(Respondents in Households with Children Age 0-17 Very/Somewhat Familiar)



**TABLE 30
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: RESPONDENTS

Community	Year	Very Familiar	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Harrisburg	1994	37%	37	26
Jacksonville	2002	33%	24	43
Tidewater	2001	30%	38	32
San Antonio	2007	21%	34	45
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	21%	30	50
Lehigh Valley	2007	20%	33	48
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	19%	38	44
Wilmington	1995	19%	36	45
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	18%	37	45
Portland (ME)	2007	17%	35	48
Rochester	1999	17%	34	49
Tucson	2002	16%	33	52
Orlando	1993	15%	30	55
Charlotte	1997	15%	28	57
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	14%	36	50
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	14%	23	64
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	13%	41	46
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	12%	29	59
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	10%	24	66
Westport ¹	2000	9%	24	67
Atlantic County ²	2004	5%	20	75
Broward (Posnack)	1997	5%	17	78
West Palm Beach	2005	4%	9	87
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	1%	4	95


¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

² The Jewish Community Day School was in the planning stages at the time of the survey.

58

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents)



59

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents)



**TABLE 31
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17				
Community	Year	Very Familiar	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Jacksonville	2002	58%	20	23
St. Paul (Talmud Torah)	2004	50%	31	20
Detroit (Hillel)	2005	48%	40	12
S Palm Beach (Donna Klein)	2005	46%	41	13
Harrisburg	1994	43%	45	12
San Antonio	2007	39%	42	19
Tidewater	2001	37%	42	21
Lehigh Valley	2007	33%	37	30
Las Vegas (Hebrew Academy)	2005	33%	32	34
Detroit (Jewish Academy)	2005	32%	44	24
Detroit (Beth Yehudah)	2005	32%	38	30
Detroit (Akiva)	2005	31%	50	19
Orlando	1993	31%	42	27
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	31%	40	29
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	31%	39	30
Wilmington	1995	31%	36	33
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	30%	43	27
Portland (ME)	2007	29%	54	17
Minneapolis (Jewish Day)	2004	29%	46	26
Tucson	2002	27%	48	25
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	27%	41	32
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	27%	33	40
Detroit (Darchei Torah)	2005	27%	26	47
Charlotte	1997	25%	40	36
Detroit (Gedolah)	2005	24%	24	52

TABLE 31
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17				
Community	Year	Very Familiar	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Middlesex (Schechter)	2008	23%	42	35
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	23%	42	36
Middlesex (RPRY)	2008	22%	22	57
Minneapolis (Torah Academy)	2004	21%	56	23
Rochester	1999	21%	37	43
Las Vegas (Schechter)	2005	20%	36	44
Broward (Posnack)	1997	20%	25	55
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	18%	32	50
St. Paul (Jewish Middle)	2004	17%	29	54
W Palm Beach	2005	17%	23	60
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	16%	26	58
S Palm Beach (Hillel)	2005	15%	39	46
Middlesex (MAYHS)	2008	13%	25	62
Las Vegas (Desert Torah)	2005	12%	28	60
Atlantic County ¹	2004	11%	35	54
Westport ²	2000	10%	34	56
St. Paul (Chabad Academy)	2004	10%	27	63
S Palm Beach (Schechter)	2005	10%	25	65
Minneapolis (Jewish Middle)	2004	9%	26	65
S Palm Beach (Torah Academy)	2005	7%	16	77
S Palm Beach (Weinbaum)	2005	6%	11	83
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	5%	8	87

¹ The Jewish Community Day School was in the planning stages at the time of the survey.
² The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

60

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



61

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)




TABLE 32
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Jacksonville	2002	55%	37	9	0	91%
Orlando	1993	51%	43	5	1	94%
Westport ¹	2000	45%	48	6	1	93%
Tucson	2002	45%	46	8	1	91%
Broward (Posnack)	1997	43%	48	8	1	91%
West Palm Beach	2005	42%	50	7	1	92%
Tidewater	2001	41%	50	6	3	91%
Harrisburg	1994	39%	52	8	2	91%
Lehigh Valley	2007	39%	51	9	1	90%
Charlotte	1997	39%	51	7	3	90%
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	38%	55	7	0	93%
Portland (ME)	2007	37%	57	6	0	95%
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	36%	57	7	0	93%
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	36%	47	14	4	82%
San Antonio	2007	35%	50	13	2	85%
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	34%	53	12	1	87%
Wilmington	1995	34%	53	10	3	88%
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	32%	55	11	2	87%
Rochester	1999	31%	51	15	4	81%
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	29%	57	14	1	85%
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	28%	54	15	3	82%

TABLE 32
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


Community	Year	<i>Excellent</i>	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	21%	61	14	4	82%
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	19%	52	22	7	71%

¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

62

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



63

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



**TABLE 33
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Jacksonville	2002	60%	32	9	0	91%
Orlando	1993	52%	39	8	1	91%
Westport ¹	2000	47%	45	7	1	92%
Broward (Posnack)	1997	47%	44	9	0	91%
W Palm Beach	2005	45%	44	8	4	89%
Detroit (Jewish Academy)	2005	44%	46	9	1	90%
St. Paul (Jewish Middle)	2004	42%	46	12	0	88%
Detroit (Gedolah)	2005	42%	36	21	2	78%
St. Paul (Talmud Torah)	2004	41%	50	6	3	90%
Tidewater	2001	41%	46	6	7	87%
Minneapolis (Jewish Day)	2004	40%	53	7	0	93%
Portland (ME)	2007	39%	58	3	0	97%
Charlotte	1997	39%	48	7	6	87%
Detroit (Darchei Torah)	2005	39%	39	21	1	78%
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	38%	50	10	2	88%
Detroit (Beth Yehudah)	2005	38%	42	19	1	80%
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	35%	52	12	1	87%
Tucson	2002	35%	48	17	1	82%
S Palm Beach (Donna Klein)	2005	35%	47	13	5	82%
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	34%	58	7	0	93%
Detroit (Hillel)	2005	34%	46	17	4	80%
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	33%	60	7	0	93%
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	33%	47	14	6	79%

**TABLE 33
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**


Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	32%	49	18	2	80%
Harrisburg	1994	31%	58	8	3	89%
San Antonio	2007	31%	48	18	3	79%
Las Vegas (Hebrew Academy)	2005	31%	46	12	12	77%
S Palm Beach (Hillel)	2005	29%	62	6	3	91%
Lehigh Valley	2007	28%	51	17	4	79%
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	27%	60	11	2	87%
Wilmington	1995	27%	54	16	4	80%
St. Paul (Chabad Academy)	2004	23%	44	27	6	67%
Rochester	1999	22%	40	29	9	62%
Detroit (Akiva)	2005	21%	58	20	1	80%
Las Vegas (Desert Torah)	2005	20%	55	22	4	74%
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	20%	51	19	10	71%
Minneapolis (Jewish Middle)	2004	18%	71	11	0	89%
Middlesex (RPRY)	2008	18%	53	23	6	71%
Middlesex (Schechter)	2008	17%	66	17	1	82%
Minneapolis (Torah Academy)	2004	17%	64	15	4	81%
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	14%	68	18	0	83%
S Palm Beach (Schechter)	2005	13%	70	13	4	83%
Las Vegas (Schechter)	2005	13%	67	15	4	81%
Middlesex (MAYHS)	2008	11%	37	41	12	48%

¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

64

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



65

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)





TABLE 34				
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN COMMUNITY COMPARISONS				
BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17				
Community	Year	<i>Very Familiar</i>	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
New Haven	2010	23%	34	44
Harrisburg	1994	21%	29	50
Hartford	2000	21%	26	53

66

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



67

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)




TABLE 35
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

Community	Year	<i>Excellent</i>	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Hartford	2000	34%	48	17	2	83%
New Haven	2010	29%	50	15	6	79%
Harrisburg	1994	14%	64	16	6	78%

68

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



69

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH SUPPLEMENTAL HIGH SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)

