

14

HOUSEHOLDS WHO CARE FOR AN ELDERLY RELATIVE

(Jewish Households in Which the Respondent Is Age 40 or Over)




TABLE 14
HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN WHO CARE FOR AN ELDERLY RELATIVE
(THE SANDWICH GENERATION)
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 40 OR OVER

Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
St. Paul	2004	31%		Westport	2000	15%
Middlesex	2008	24%		W Palm Beach	2005	14%
S Palm Beach	2005	23%		Jacksonville	2002	14%
Lehigh Valley	2007	22%		Bergen	2001	13%
San Antonio	2007	20%		Tidewater	2001	12%
Las Vegas	2005	20%		Sarasota	2001	8%
Rhode Island	2002	19%		Hartford ¹	2000	19%
New Haven	2010	17%		Monmouth * ²	1997	14%
Detroit	2005	17%		Rochester *	1999	13%
Atlantic County	2004	17%		* Question was asked just about an elderly parent, not an elderly relative.		
Minneapolis	2004	17%		¹ Question was asked of respondents age 40-79.		
Tucson	2002	17%		² Question was asked of respondents age 50 and over.		
Miami	2014	16%				
Washington	2003	15%				

15

HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN WHO CARE FOR AN ELDERLY RELATIVE

(Jewish Households with Children in Which the Respondent Is Age 40 or Over)




TABLE 15
HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 75 OR OVER
WITH LOCAL ADULT CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 75 OR OVER

Community	Year	%	Community	Year	%
Minneapolis *	2004	79%	York	1999	42%
St. Paul *	2004	77%	Westport	2000	41%
Detroit	2005	67%	Monmouth ⁴	1997	41%
Tidewater	2001	67%	Miami ⁵	2014	40%
Baltimore	2010	66%	Las Vegas	2005	40%
Chicago	2010	66%	Atlantic County	2004	31%
Cincinnati	2008	66%	Middlesex ⁶	2008	30%
New York	2011	64%	S Palm Beach ⁷	2005	21%
Denver	2007	63%	Broward ⁸	1997	17%
Rochester	1999	63%	W Palm Beach ⁹	2005	16%
Washington	2003	62%	Sarasota	2001	16%
Rhode Island	2002	57%	Howard County ¹⁰	2010	79%
Lehigh Valley	2007	55%			
San Antonio	2007	55%			
Hartford	2000	55%			
Richmond	1994	55%			
Milwaukee	1996	54%			
Harrisburg	1994	53%			
Portland (ME)	2007	52%			
Columbus	2013	51%			
Tucson	2002	51%			
Jacksonville	2002	46%			
Bergen ¹	2001	46%			
Wilmington ²	1995	46%			
St. Louis	2014	45%			
New Haven ³	2010	44%			
Pittsburgh	2002	44%			

* Local is defined to include both Twin Cities communities.

¹ Excludes 18% of households with adult children living in the New York metropolitan area.

² Excludes 7% of households with adult children living in Philadelphia.

³ Excludes 21% of households with adult children living outside Greater New Haven but within 90 minutes.

⁴ Excludes 10% of households with adult children living in Ocean or Middlesex Counties.

⁵ Excludes households with adult children living in Broward, South Palm Beach, or West Palm Beach.

⁶ Excludes 48% of households with adult children living outside Middlesex but within 90 minutes.

⁷ Excludes households with adult children living in Broward or Miami.

⁸ Excludes households with adult children living in South Palm Beach, West Palm Beach, or Miami.

⁹ Excludes households with adult children living in Broward or Miami.

¹⁰ Includes households with adult children living in the Baltimore or Washington area.

16

HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 75 AND OVER WITH LOCAL ADULT CHILDREN




TABLE 16
PREFERENCE FOR JEWISH-SPONSORED ADULT CARE FACILITIES
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: JEWISH RESPONDENTS AGE 40 AND OVER

Community	Year	<i>Very Much Prefer</i>	Somewhat Prefer	Have No Preference	Rather Not Use
Jacksonville	2002	64%	21	14	1
Detroit	2005	62%	23	14	1
S Palm Beach	2005	62%	20	16	1
W Palm Beach	2005	61%	21	16	2
Bergen	2001	60%	21	18	2
Atlantic County	2004	59%	23	17	1
Minneapolis	2004	59%	22	17	1
Middlesex	2008	58%	24	16	1
St. Paul	2004	56%	22	21	1
Tidewater	2001	55%	20	23	3
Rochester	1999	54%	23	20	3
Sarasota	2001	49%	20	29	2
Westport	2000	47%	20	31	2
Washington	2003	46%	29	23	2
San Antonio	2007	46%	26	24	4
Hartford	2000	44%	27	26	3
Rhode Island	2002	44%	24	29	3
Lehigh Valley	2007	43%	29	26	2
Tucson	2002	43%	25	31	1
Las Vegas	2005	40%	27	31	2
New Haven	2010	39%	26	32	3
Portland (ME)	2007	34%	27	37	3

TABLE 16					
PREFERENCE FOR JEWISH-SPONSORED ADULT CARE FACILITIES					
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS					
BASE: JEWISH RESPONDENTS AGE 40 AND OVER					
Community	Year	<i>Very Much Prefer</i>	Somewhat Prefer	Have No Preference	Rather Not Use
BASE: JEWISH RESPONDENTS AGE 50 AND OVER					
Monmouth	1997	64%	18	15	4
Orlando	1993	56%	22	20	3
Note: Respondents who replied "don't know" to this question are omitted from the analysis.					

VERY MUCH PREFER JEWISH-SPONSORED ADULT CARE FACILITIES

(Jewish Respondents Age 40 and Over)



18

HAVE NO PREFERENCE FOR JEWISH-SPONSORED ADULT CARE FACILITIES

(Jewish Respondents Age 40 and Over)





TABLE 17
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED
SENIOR HOUSING/ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS

Community	Year	<i>Very Familiar</i>	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Detroit (Prentis, Meer, etc.)	2005	39%	35	26
Detroit (Fleischman)	2005	35%	38	26
Sarasota	2001	24%	38	38
New Haven	2010	24%	36	40
Richmond	1994	24%	35	41
Harrisburg	1994	19%	37	44
Rochester	1999	19%	32	49
St. Petersburg	1994	16%	33	52
Orlando	1993	15%	24	61
S Palm Beach	2005	3%	7	91

19

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF (Respondents)



20

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF (Respondents)




TABLE 18
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED
SENIOR HOUSING/ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY
OF RESPONDENTS AGE 65 AND OVER
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS **AGE 65 AND OVER**

Community	Year	<i>Very Familiar</i>	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Detroit (Prentis, Meer, etc.)	2005	44%	29	27
Detroit (Fleischman)	2005	35%	36	29
Richmond	1994	34%	38	28
Rochester	1999	30%	40	30
New Haven	2010	29%	41	30
Orlando	1993	28%	31	41
Harrisburg	1994	27%	36	37
Sarasota	2001	26%	41	33
St. Petersburg	1994	18%	34	49
S Palm Beach	2005	3%	6	91

21

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF


(Respondents Age 65 and Over)



22

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF

(Respondents Age 65 and Over)



**TABLE 19
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED
SENIOR HOUSING/ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**


**BASE: RESPONDENTS WHO ARE VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR
WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY**

Community	Year	<i>Excellent</i>	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Rochester	1999	54%	39	6	2	93%
Sarasota	2001	51%	45	2	2	95%
St. Petersburg	1994	49%	40	10	1	89%
Orlando	1993	42%	52	5	2	94%
New Haven	2010	37%	51	11	2	87%
Detroit (Prentis, Meer, etc.)	2005	36%	55	9	1	91%
S Palm Beach	2005	35%	60	4	1	95%
Richmond	1994	34%	57	9	1	91%
Detroit (Fleischman)	2005	34%	54	10	2	88%
Harrisburg	1994	28%	57	12	3	85%

23

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF


(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



24

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



**TABLE 20
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED
SENIOR HOUSING/ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY
BY RESPONDENTS AGE 65 AND OVER
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**


**BASE: RESPONDENTS AGE 65 AND OVER VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR
WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY**

Community	Year	<i>Excellent</i>	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Orlando	1993	56%	41	2	1	97%
Rochester	1999	53%	43	2	2	96%
St. Petersburg	1994	51%	36	10	3	87%
Sarasota	2001	49%	46	3	1	96%
Richmond	1994	37%	49	14	0	86%
New Haven	2010	37%	47	13	3	84%
S Palm Beach	2005	36%	59	6	0	94%
Detroit (Prentis, Meer, etc.)	2005	36%	54	9	1	90%
Detroit (Fleischman)	2005	34%	56	9	1	90%
Harrisburg	1994	30%	60	8	2	90%

25

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF

(Respondents Age 65 and Over Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



26

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH-SPONSORED SENIOR HOUSING/ALF

(Respondents Age 65 and Over Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)




TABLE 21
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS

Community	Year	<i>Very Familiar</i>	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Harrisburg	1994	47%	31	22
Rochester	1999	45%	43	13
Jacksonville	2002	43%	31	26
Minneapolis	2004	38%	36	26
Richmond	1994	38%	34	28
Hartford	2000	37%	36	27
Tidewater	2001	36%	34	30
San Antonio	2007	36%	33	31
Wilmington	1995	35%	36	30
St. Paul	2004	32%	37	31
Milwaukee	1996	31%	41	28
Portland (ME)	2007	27%	31	42
Tucson	2002	23%	43	34
Charlotte	1997	23%	32	45
New Haven	2010	22%	38	40
Washington	2003	20%	41	39
Westport	2000	19%	33	49
St. Petersburg	1994	18%	29	54
Atlantic County	2004	15%	27	58
Miami	2014	15%	26	59
W Palm Beach	2005	10%	21	69
Bergen	2001	5%	18	78
S Palm Beach	2005	5%	13	82

27

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents)



28

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME (Respondents)




TABLE 22
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME
OF RESPONDENTS AGE 65 AND OVER
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS **AGE 65 AND OVER**

Community	Year	<i>Very Familiar</i>	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Harrisburg	1994	69%	15	16
Rochester	1999	61%	30	8
Richmond	1994	56%	32	12
Wilmington	1995	54%	35	11
Tidewater	2001	54%	26	21
St. Paul	2004	50%	32	18
San Antonio	2007	50%	25	25
Milwaukee	1996	49%	40	11
Jacksonville	2002	48%	39	13
Charlotte	1997	48%	35	17
Minneapolis	2004	48%	34	18
Hartford	2000	44%	37	19
Washington	2003	33%	43	24
Portland (ME)	2007	33%	26	42
Westport	2000	32%	43	25
New Haven	2010	29%	41	31
Tucson	2002	27%	46	27
St. Petersburg	1994	18%	29	52
Atlantic County	2004	18%	27	55
Miami	2014	17%	32	51
W Palm Beach	2005	10%	24	66
Bergen	2001	6%	23	71
S Palm Beach	2005	4%	12	84

29

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents Age 65 and Over)



30

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents Age 65 and Over)




TABLE 23
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


**BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR
WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME**

Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Harrisburg	1994	66%	33	1	1	98%
Jacksonville	2002	60%	32	7	2	92%
St. Petersburg	1994	59%	35	5	2	93%
Charlotte	1997	58%	36	6	1	93%
W Palm Beach	2005	54%	40	4	2	94%
Rochester	1999	52%	41	6	1	93%
Westport	2000	50%	42	4	4	92%
Portland (ME)	2007	48%	43	7	2	91%
Tidewater	2001	48%	42	7	3	90%
Hartford	2000	46%	44	7	3	90%
Tucson	2002	46%	43	7	4	89%
Atlantic County	2004	46%	42	10	2	88%
Minneapolis	2004	41%	47	10	2	88%
San Antonio	2007	40%	46	9	5	86%
Richmond	1994	38%	52	8	2	90%
Miami	2014	36%	48	13	3	84%
Washington	2003	34%	55	8	3	89%
St. Paul	2004	31%	52	14	3	83%
Milwaukee	1996	31%	51	13	6	82%
Wilmington	1995	30%	49	16	5	79%
Bergen	2001	29%	53	18	1	81%
S Palm Beach	2005	26%	53	11	10	79%
New Haven	2010	24%	46	19	11	70%

31

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



32

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)




TABLE 24
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME
BY RESPONDENTS AGE 65 AND OVER
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS **AGE 65 AND OVER** VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR
 WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Harrisburg	1994	74%	19	4	3	93%
Jacksonville	2002	73%	22	2	3	95%
Charlotte	1997	62%	33	2	2	95%
Rochester	1999	59%	34	6	2	93%
St. Petersburg	1994	59%	31	8	1	90%
Portland (ME)	2007	56%	33	7	4	89%
W Palm Beach	2005	54%	41	4	2	95%
San Antonio	2007	50%	36	8	6	86%
Tidewater	2001	49%	46	4	1	95%
Westport	2000	49%	38	6	7	87%
Atlantic County	2004	47%	42	8	4	89%
Minneapolis	2004	45%	43	9	4	88%
Hartford	2000	45%	40	9	6	85%
Tucson	2002	41%	47	9	3	88%
Miami	2014	39%	46	11	5	85%
St. Paul	2004	37%	46	14	4	83%
Washington	2003	36%	49	11	5	85%
Wilmington	1995	35%	45	18	3	80%
Richmond	1994	33%	59	7	1	92%
Bergen	2001	32%	55	13	0	87%
Milwaukee	1996	29%	51	13	7	79%
New Haven	2010	27%	38	22	13	65%
S Palm Beach	2005	25%	54	10	11	79%

33

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents Age 65 and Over Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



34

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH NURSING HOME

(Respondents Age 65 and Over Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)




TABLE 25
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


BASE: RESPONDENTS

Community	Year	<i>Very Familiar</i>	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Washington	2003	9%	42	50
Rhode Island	2002	9%	22	69
Atlantic County	2004	7%	11	81
W Palm Beach	2005	2%	6	92

35

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY

(Respondents)



36

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY

(Respondents)




TABLE 26
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS


**BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR
 WITH THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY**

Community	Year	<i>Excellent</i>	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent /Good
Atlantic County	2004	43%	48	9	0	91%
W Palm Beach	2005	35%	61	2	3	95%
Washington	2003	30%	60	10	1	90%
Rhode Island	2002	27%	59	11	3	86%

37

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



38

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL SENIOR SERVICES AGENCY

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)




TABLE 27
PROVIDING SOCIAL SERVICES FOR THE JEWISH ELDERLY
AS A MOTIVATION TO DONATE TO A JEWISH ORGANIZATION
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WHO DONATED \$100 AND OVER
 TO THE LOCAL JEWISH FEDERATION, OTHER JEWISH FEDERATIONS,
 OR OTHER JEWISH CHARITIES IN THE PAST YEAR**

Community	Year	<i>Very Important</i>	Somewhat Important	Not at All Important
Jacksonville	2002	76%	18	6
St. Paul	2004	75%	24	1
Tidewater	2001	74%	22	5
Atlantic County	2004	74%	21	5
Miami	2014	72%	24	4
Sarasota	2001	72%	24	4
S Palm Beach	2005	71%	24	5
Rochester	1999	69%	27	3
Rhode Island	2002	67%	31	3
Minneapolis	2004	67%	30	3
Middlesex	2008	67%	29	4
San Antonio	2007	65%	30	5
W Palm Beach	2005	64%	30	7
Hartford	2000	63%	35	2
Bergen	2001	63%	32	5
Orlando	1993	63%	30	7
Detroit	2005	62%	34	4
Lehigh Valley	2007	62%	32	6
Tucson	2002	59%	36	5
Westport	2000	59%	34	7
Las Vegas	2005	57%	37	6
Washington	2003	53%	41	7
New Haven	2010	49%	42	9

39

**IMPORTANCE OF
PROVIDING SOCIAL SERVICES FOR THE JEWISH ELDERLY
AS A MOTIVATION TO DONATE TO A JEWISH ORGANIZATION**

% Very Important

(Respondents in Jewish Households Who Donated \$100 and Over to Jewish Charities in the Past Year)

